Risk Register

Appendix 1

FutureFit Oxford(shire) Project (FOx Project)

As at: 06/09/2023

_									Gros	ss		Currer	it	Tar	get						
R	f Title	Risk Description	Opp / Threat	Cause	Consequence	Risk Treatment	Date Raised	Owner	Р		Р		Score	Р	1	Comments	Control / Mitigation Description	Date Due	Action Status	% Progress	Action Owner
	EDI poorly incorporated in 1 processes	EDI not considered in development of to FOx partnership processes		Poor scoping of engagement with customers/clients	poorly designed processes that do not meet EDI requirements.	Reduce	06.09.23	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	3	4	1	3	3	1	3	None	WP2 (Governance) has a specific activity to understand customer/client segments for the FOx, to ensure that over the longer term services can grow that go beyond able-to-pay. There are extensive engagement activities throughou the project that will ensure that the diverse needs of local communities are incorporated.	TBC by Project Board if t grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded
	Lack of diversity 2 consideration	Lack of diversity or consideration of diversity in partnership ways of working.	Opportunity	Poor scoping of engagement with team members and stakeholders	Poor outcomes for team members and stakeholders.	Reduce	06.09.23	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	3	4	1	3	3	1	3	None	All partners committed to the LCH Ethical Framework for the detailed design of trials. Best practice applied in relation to EDI. Subcontracts to include EDI considerations in their scope of work.	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded
	Equitable retrofi 3 / FutureFit	Retrofit / FutureFit t outcomes are not equitable	Opportunity	Limited engagement with stakeholders	It is not possible to develop FOx partnership or the enabling environment in a way that fully incorporates EDI requirements, to ensure retroff/FutureFit is equitable.	Reduce	06.09.23	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	4	5	1	5	5	1	5	None	Utilizing existing networks strong relationships with stakeholders will help ensure EDI is addressed within project outputs, and that EDI is considered at the design stage of retrofit solutions.	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded
127	4 Inflation costs	project costs (i.e., materials and subcontractor costs) due to fast escalation of inflation rate	n Threat	Inflation rates	Project cannot deliver as much work due to increased costs.	Contingency Plans	06.09.23	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	5	4	4	1	4	4	1	None	Small numbers of installs directly delivered as part of the project therefore low risk from increasing product costs. Subcontractor quotes received in context of high UK inflation and based on project start in Jan 2024. Contingency plan would be to reduce the amount of installs to remain inside budget.	awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded
	Viability of FutureFit One Stop Shop 5 models	Poor viability of models scoped for different retrofit/FutureFit approaches	Threat	Limited engagement with customer/client segments	Low uptake and poor commercial viability of models scoped for different FOSS approaches	Reduce	06.09.23	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	4	5	2	2	4	2	2	None	The project will work with demonstrator FutureFit One Stop Shops and we also have touchpoints with businesses through all LA partner economic development teams.	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded
	FOx Partnership does not meet 6 aim.	FOx partnership does not achieve required retrofit / FutureFit aims	Threat	Limited engagement with stakeholders	It is not possible to develop an holistic, innovative and locally informed FOx or enabling environment	Reduce	06.09.23	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	4	5	1	5	5	1	5	None	Utilizing existing networks including ZCOP and strong relationships with stakeholders will help with extensive national reach into leading bodies in the retrofit space.	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded
	Low retrofit 7 growth	Low levels of retrofit growth	Threat	Low uptake and challenges demonstrating commercial viability of FOx models.	Limited market acceptance and demand for building owners to implement retro/FutureFit.	Accept	06.09.23	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	3	5	1	3	3	1	3	None	WP2 will involve development of a comprehensive marketing, communications and engagement approach. Inclusion of seed funding in WP2 will secure engagement. Project partner, Lucy Group, are an engaged and influential, local building owner.	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded
		Implementing and gaining acceptance for novel financial solutions might face resistance from a stakeholders/regulat I ory bodies and s financiers	Opportunity	Limited engagement with stakeholders	Pushback may result in financial solutions trials terminating early, necessitating project plan changes additional efforts which could lead to increased project costs.	Accept	06.09.23	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	4	4	2	2	4	2	2	None	Expert input from consultants (e.g., Arup and Anthesis) will engage with financiers to understand their requirements around risks and novel finance. An output of the project wibe to ensure that the financial solutions we trial are financially viable.	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded
	Supply chain 9 confidence	market conditions impact supply chain	Threat	Low customer confidence in the supply chain due to marke conditions (e.g. high costs)	Low uptake of t Retrofit/FutureFit measures through WP3 financial trials	Accept	06.09.23	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	4	4	1	3	3	1	3	None	Working with established demonstrator FOSSs who have experience generating engagement with clients and customers locally within the context of a variable RF market. This means that the project has a better chance of getting the required levels of engagement to test the financial mechanisms.	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded

13/

_																					
				B :													Project partners to recruit project resource ahead of time to	TBC by	TBC by	TBC by	
				Delivery delays due to	Key project outputs are not												ensure that adequate persons are available for delivery of	Project	Project	Project	
				dependency on key personnel	delivered on time/at all,		06.09.23	TBC by									project.	Board if	Board if	Board if	TBC by
		D :		and/or inexperience in	leading to cascading			Project Board										grant	grant	grant	Project Board
	00	Project deadlines not	-	delivering on short term	impacts on WPs with			if grant funding		_		_		2				funding	funding	funding	if grant fundi
Ľ	Project deadlines	met	Threat	deadlines	interdependencies.	Reduce		awarded	4	5	2	2	4	2	2	None		awarded	awarded	awarded	awarded
																	Knowledge is already embedded across organisations and		TBC by	TBC by	
	Project							TDO									a shared file storage/workspace across all partner	Project	Project	Project	TDO
	dependant on	D : "					06.09.23	TBC by									organisations has already been established. Therefore, los		Board if	Board if	TBC by
	key team	Project's		Key members of the project	5	o "		Project Board									of key members of the project team would not mean loss of		grant	grant	Project Board
	members	dependency on key	-	team being absent and failing		Contingency		if grant funding									access to the knowledge base or products required to	funding	funding	funding	if grant fundir
L	1 expertise	team members	Threat	project management deadlines	s completed or is delayed	Plans		awarded	2	4	1	4	4	1	4	None	deliver this project successfully	awarded	awarded	awarded	awarded
																	OCC already has well established relationships with many				
																	of the project partners through delivering Phase 1 and long	-			
																	standing partnerships working on the net zero pathways.				
																	The governance structure will clarify the decision-making				
							06.09.23										hierarchy, so that the project can meet the desired outputs.		TBC by	TBC by	
		D:00 11 1 11 1		Inability to efficiently progress				TDO									We have done a significant amount of collaborative project		Project	Project	TDO
		Difficulty in aligning		due to difficult in aligning and	completed at all or			TBC by									pre-planning as a consortium which has mapped the project		Board if	Board if	TBC by
		partner views and		accommodating different	completed in time, due to			Project Board									outputs and roles of different project partners. This has	grant	grant	grant	Project Board
		prioties within a		interests and views of the 11	lack of consensus amongst			if grant funding	1								already accommodated the different interests and views of		funding	funding	if grant fundin
Ľ	2 Partnerships	partnership project.	Opportunity	project partners	multiple project partners	Accept		awarded	2	4	1	4	4	1	4	None	project partners.	awarded	awarded	awarded	awarded
					WP3 (financial trials WP)												Experienced staff being brought onto the project to manage		TD0 !	TD0 !	
					cannot be completed to the												this element, and it's expected that IUK stagegate will focus		TBC by	TBC by	
					agreed timescale and			TDO									on this WP.	Project	Project	Project	TDO
					budget, this could also		06.09.23											Board if	Board if	Board if	TBC by
		Financial		Inability to efficiently manage	compromise on quality or			Project Board										grant	grant	grant	Project Board
	Financial	management of	-	funds including seed funding	prevent delivery of project			if grant funding		_								funding	funding	funding	if grant fundin
L	3 management	grant funds	Threat	and financial trials	outputs.	Reduce		awarded	2	5	1	3	3	1	3	None		awarded	awarded	awarded	awarded
					Project cannot be												All partners have a clear and transparent procurement	TBC by	TBC by	TBC by	
					completed to the agreed			TDO									process to secure subcontractors, and we are aware there	Project	Project	Project	TDO
		Inability to secure		External dependencies on	timescale and budget, this		06.09.23	TBC by									are multiple subcontractors with the relevant skills to carry	Board if	Board if	Board if	TBC by
		appropriate		securing and managing sub-	could also compromise on			Project Board									out the work specified.	grant	grant	grant	Project Board
	Lack of	subcontractors on	-	contractors (i.e., WP3 on	quality and effectiveness of			if grant funding		_								funding	funding	funding	if grant fundin
L	4 subcontractors	time.	Threat	finance)	project outputs.	Reduce		awarded	4	3	1	3	3	1	3	None		awarded	awarded	awarded	awarded
																	Many partners are used to remote-working which reduces	TBC by	TBC by	TBC by	
								TDO									travel requirements and therefore carbon emissions. Most	Project	Project	Project	TDO
					50		06.09.23	TBC by									project partners are local to Oxford and won't be travelling	Board if	Board if	Board if	TBC by
_		Project has a short			FOx project has a higher			Project Board									long distances to attend events. Moreover, most will travel	grant	grant	grant	Project Board
_	- O	term impact on	0	Partner travel to workshops	than necessary carbon	D - d		if grant funding	,	3		_				Mana	by public transport rather than by car.	funding	funding	funding	if grant fundin
~	5 Carbon footprint	climate change.	Opportunity		footprint.	Reduce		awarded	4	3	1	2	2	1	2	None		awarded	awarded	awarded	awarded
α				FOx doesn't achieve the													FOx project runs successfully due to good management	TDC bu	TDO by	TDO bu	
_				overall aim to increase RF & FF in Oxford(shire) Carbon	1												and implementation of the project. Project partners are	TBC by	TBC by	TBC by Project	
	1	Project doesn't have		emissions associated with	1		00.00.55	TPC hu									committed to achieving goal of increasing RF & FF.	Project	Project	Board if	TDC hy
		a long term positive		buildings and energy use are	1		06.09.23	Project Board										Board if grant	Board if grant	grant	TBC by Project Board
	Climate change	impact on climate		not reduced against current	1			if grant funding										grant funding	grant funding	grant funding	if grant funding
	6 impact	change.	Opportunity	levels in Oxford(shire)	Worsening climate change.	Accept		awarded	2	5	1	3	3	4	3	None		awarded	awarded	awarded	awarded
\vdash	Umpact	urange.	Opportunity	ieveis iii Oxioiu(siiie)	vvoisening climate change.	Accept	-	awarueu	- 3			•	•		,	INOTIE	MD4 will include a Februar Fit training and alsi'll	TBC by	TBC by	TBC by	awarueu
					ĺ												WP4 will include a FutureFit training and skills needs			Project	1
		Lack of diverse		Shortage of skilled	ĺ			TBC by									analysis, this will enable us to identify technical skills gaps.		Project Board if	Board if	TBC by
		range of technical		professionals capable of	1		06.09.23	Project Board									WP4 will also develop a skills intervention plan and develop	grant	grant	grant	Project Board
		skills required to		designing, installing and	Project issues / could lead			if grant funding									an internship program for those seeking practical	grant funding	grant funding	grant funding	if grant fundir
	7 Lack of skills	deliver FOSSs.	Threat	maintaining complex systems.		Reduce		awarded			2	2		2	2	None	experience of non-domestic assessment delivery.	awarded	awarded	awarded	awarded
\vdash	Lack Of SkillS	uciivei FUSSS.	iiileat	mamaining complex systems.	to delays of quality issues.	reduce	 	awarueu	4	5			4			INOTIE	The EOv project's main focus is to test processes and	awarue0	awarued	awarued	awarueu
	1			I	1		1	1									The FOx project's main focus is to test processes and business models to support scaling of retrofit and transition	TDC hi	TBC by	TBC by	1
					1																
				Integration of renewable	1		00 00 00	TRC by									to FutureFit. However, we will use known and trusted suppliers and we are developing quality assurance	Project Board if	Project Board if	Project Board if	TBC by
	Integration of			•	ĺ		06.09.23														
	Integration of	Door integration of		energy systems, storage,	Look of austomor			Project Board									standards that will reduce risk in technology integration. WP4, WP5 and WP6 have elements which focus on	grant	grant funding	grant funding	Project Board if grant funding
	energy 8 technologies	Poor integration of energy technologies	Throat	smart meters and controls is not seamless.	Lack of customer confidence in the FOSSs	Reduce		if grant funding awarded			4	,	,			None	developing the supply chain.	funding awarded	awarded	awarded	awarded
	ortechnologies	energy recrimologies	inieat	HUL SEMINESS.	COMMUNICE III IIIE FOSSS	reduce	1	awarueu	3	4	1	3	3		,	INUITE	developing the supply chain.	awarued	awarued	awarued	awarueu